	Question Details		
	Question	Answer	
		For MoJ statistical purposes, there is no difference between accident and	
		misadventure. However, if any logical distinction exists, then misadventu	
		may be when someone deliberately undertakes a task, which then goes	
ار	what is generally classed as misadventure	and cases death.	
╣	17% of reported deaths last year led into an inquest, how many of those involved NHS	and dases death.	
	services? and is there any medical specialty more likely to be involved in coroners inquest		
_	among others?	This data is not available	
	A question on the process for publishing PFD responses on the Chief Coroner's website -		
	does the Coroner who had issued a PFD have a duty to publish all the responses received by	See Chief Coroner's Guidance No. 5. A Senior Coroner must send a copy of	
	those to whom the PFD was addressed? Can the Coroner choose to not publish some of the	PFD report to the Chief Coroner, who must publish it, unless the redaction	
	responses?	policy applies.	
	Are all the contents of a PFD available to the public? Can information be withheld and if so,	In the main, Yes. Subject to the reaction policy, e.g. contact details, suicid	
	what sort of information?		
4	What soft of information?	methodology.	
_		Nistad	
5	Hi, I just want to know .NHS is also arranging lecture with Lawayers about death report etc?	Noted	
	Hoe far can professionals prevent suicides? What lessons can be learnt on risk management		
6	from Coroners view?	This is too wide a topic for this Q&A	
\exists	Can we raise to the cornoer for the lawyer to use better language and tone before I answer		
7	the questions?	This should be for your lawyer to raise.	
_	Very informative talk by the first speaker, thanks. I have in the last few years given evidence at	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	the Coroner's request as an independent expert witness. It felt a little adversarial as there		
	were lawyers representing each side and giving evidence felt a little like other Courts. is this		
	becoming the new practice in Coroner's Courts - it doesn't sound like it from your talk. Can	The lawyers Regulators' Toolkit is meant to recalibrate the tone of Inque:	
8	you possibly elaborate a little on this please?	they are fact finding inquisitorial hearings.	
	have found that the major challenges colleagues who have gone to an inquest report, is		
	usually with the lawyers and how they made them feel during the process. This has kept me		
	wondering really the reason behind having lawyers present in such a setting since it is a fact		
9	finding activity? And who do the lawyers represent in the court?	Inevitably organisations need to be represented by lawyers.	
	A large number of EDs have multiple mental health patients in for days (often up to 7). The		
	ED is certainly not a conductive environment. What plans, if any, are in place to create		
	additional mental health capacity? It's unfair to continue to put pressure on acute Trusts.	As a Judge, I cannot comment.	
-		<u> </u>	
	Thank you Rachel; excellent as always. Is it a sensible response to the "low risk paradox" just	The advice is to move to dynamic clincial formulation. Work is being don	
11	being advised not to use risk stratification?	the structure for this.	
		I can only speak from my experience here- in that I have not ever seen a	
	sympathize with your experience and many of us have lost patients to suicide. I disagree	evidence of indiivdual suicide being predictable. There is good evidence	
	with your statement that suicide risk is completely unpredictable. We are trained and have	public health intervnetions reducing suicide rates e.g reduction in acces	
		means. I am sure we do reduced the chance of suicide in our work with	
	skills to assess suicide and although cannot always predict we do generally prevent many		
	suicides. A study in the US some years ago showed that close working between all agencies	patients but who cannto be deteremined. To talk about suicide and to p	
12	concerned reduced very signficantly local suicide rates to almost none>	feeligns into words reduces the risk of action.	
13	Will the transition to the PSIRF framework help with critical reports?	Yet to be determined.	
	Thank you to both speakers - informative and clear - Limited experience of attending		
14	Coroner's Court, but have to echo that the Coroner did set the scene and tone.	Noted.	
	Dear Derek		
	have submitted a medical report following the death of one of my patients by suicide. I		
	received a request from the corner's office to identify the unavailabity dates for the next 9		
	months to attend the hearing . Why it's too long , it's distressing fro all parties including		
3 C	families to wait all this time . Thanks	Noted. But as a Judge, I cannot comment on individual cases.	
ı		Section 1 The Coroners and Justice Act 2009 - the Coroner investigates d	
15		which are unnatural, violent, in state detention or the cause of death is n	
15			
15	Why are deaths by suicide treated differently to death by other reasons example cardiac	known. A cardiac related death is natural and would not necessarily com	
		· ·	
	Why are deaths by suicide treated differently to death by other reasons example cardiac related	under the coroner's jurisdiction.	
16	related	•	
16	related In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice	· ·	
16	related In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a	Ý	
16	related In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice	· ·	
16	related In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a	· ·	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of	· ·	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a	Ý	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing	Ý	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative	· ·	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our	· ·	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative	Ý	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our	· ·	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an	•	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to	under the coroner's jurisdiction.	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression	•	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression Comment. I think it is admirable that clinicians like Dr Gibbons can harness and use their own	under the coroner's jurisdiction. Noted.	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression	under the coroner's jurisdiction. Noted. Thank you	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression Comment. I think it is admirable that clinicians like Dr Gibbons can harness and use their own personal responses to adverse outcomes to help others! Thank you.	under the coroner's jurisdiction. Noted. Thank you	
16	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression Comment. I think it is admirable that clinicians like Dr Gibbons can harness and use their own	Noted. Thank you I am not sure that we 'know' that systemic issues contributed to the dea	
17	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression Comment. I think it is admirable that clinicians like Dr Gibbons can harness and use their own personal responses to adverse outcomes to help others! Thank you.	Noted. Thank you I am not sure that we 'know' that systemic issues contributed to the deal however we may know that there are significant systemic issues affecting.	
17	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression Comment. I think it is admirable that clinicians like Dr Gibbons can harness and use their own personal responses to adverse outcomes to help others! Thank you. How should one cope knowing that there are systemic issues which contribute to patient deaths rather than an individual person/s contributing to death? Things don't change despite	Noted. Thank you I am not sure that we 'know' that systemic issues contributed to the deal however we may know that there are significant systemic issues affecting patient care. How to deal with this is a very broad question that deserves	
17	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression Comment. I think it is admirable that clinicians like Dr Gibbons can harness and use their own personal responses to adverse outcomes to help others! Thank you. How should one cope knowing that there are systemic issues which contribute to patient deaths rather than an individual person/s contributing to death? Things don't change despite escalating issues	Noted. Thank you I am not sure that we 'know' that systemic issues contributed to the dea however we may know that there are significant systemic issues affecting.	
17 18	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression Comment. I think it is admirable that clinicians like Dr Gibbons can harness and use their own personal responses to adverse outcomes to help others! Thank you. How should one cope knowing that there are systemic issues which contribute to patient deaths rather than an individual person/s contributing to death? Things don't change despite escalating issues Eleni with respect I hope you don't appear as an expert witness in any Inquest I attend; the	Noted. Thank you I am not sure that we 'know' that systemic issues contributed to the deal however we may know that there are significant systemic issues affecting patient care. How to deal with this is a very broad question that deserves	
16 17 18	In an increasingly litigious society, how can we avoid falling into the trap of defensive practice while also ensuring that we are covered legally? I know that robust documentation can be a crucial element; however, in practice, it's only sometimes feasible to maintain that level of thoroughness, given ongoing time constraints and an increasingly demanding workplace culture. Also, sometimes we have to make judgement calls in real-time, often under a growing sense of urgency, and realistically, it can be challenging to manage the ongoing pressures. Even more so in psychiatry, where we rarely have access to quantitative investigations (we can't just check some bloods or review a scan), and we need to rely on our experience and expertise rather than concrete results that could support our findings. It's one thing to say that someone is "clinically stable" and back that with a set of data, and it's an entirely different thing to say that someone is "not suicidal" without having anything to support your impression Comment. I think it is admirable that clinicians like Dr Gibbons can harness and use their own personal responses to adverse outcomes to help others! Thank you. How should one cope knowing that there are systemic issues which contribute to patient deaths rather than an individual person/s contributing to death? Things don't change despite escalating issues	Noted. Thank you I am not sure that we 'know' that systemic issues contributed to the dea however we may know that there are significant systemic issues affectin patient care. How to deal with this is a very broad question that deserves	

2	would you comment on any common themes /lessons observed in inpatient suicides?	This is too wide a topic for this Q&A
		There is no formal follow up power or sunction by a Senior Coroner or the Chief
	To what extent are PFD letters and recommendations pursued / monitored; and if an	Coroner. The NHS do look at thematic issues as do some charities and
2	organisation does not act on PDF is there any repercussions? Does anyone check on actions?	academics.
2	Are there statistics on the effect of suicide or coroner's inquest on practitioners	No
	Do GPs/Primary care have a role in preventing suicides as 80% present to them in the year	
2	before suicide. Again any informations that can assist from the Coroner?	This is too wide a topic for this Q&A
2	link of podcast please?	https://www.ficm.ac.uk/podcast-the-coroner-%E2%80%93-part-1