

Report author:

Peer reviewer:

Nature of case: Criminal/family/civil/other (specify)

Boxes with the label 'Inapplicable *' relate to standards which are either 'Adequate' or 'Requires attention/improvement' (i.e. binary rating)

	Standards assessed	Requires attention/ improvement	Adequate	An example to others
1.	Within expertise			Inapplicable*
2.	Consent (if appropriate)			
3.	Acceptable structure and properly presented			
4.	'User-friendly'			
5.	Compliance with relevant rules			Inapplicable*
6.	Knowledge, understanding and correct application of legal tests			
7.	Methodology explained and includes sufficient information as may be needed to decide whether opinion sufficiently reliable to			

Based on Box 3.4, p. 51 in K.J.B. Rix 'Training, development and maintenance of expertise', in K. Rix, L. Mynors-Wallis and C. Craven, *Rix's Expert Psychiatric Evidence*, 2nd ed, CUP, 2021. © Cambridge University Press but can be copied for personal use subject to citation of this reference.

	be admissible			
8.	Facts and opinions clearly separated			Inapplicable*
9.	Issues addressed			Inapplicable*
10.	Evaluation of quality of evidence/clinical veracity			
11.	Opinions reasoned and withstand logical analysis			
12.	Includes range of reasonable opinion			Inapplicable*
13.	Summary of opinion/conclusions			
14.	Evidence of independence and impartiality			
15.	Glossary (if applicable)/Terms explained			
14.	Expedition and timeliness			Inapplicable*
15.	Probity			Inapplicable*

Based on Box 3.4, p. 51 in K.J.B. Rix 'Training, development and maintenance of expertise', in K. Rix, L. Mynors-Wallis and C. Craven, *Rix's Expert Psychiatric Evidence*, 2nd ed, CUP, 2021. © Cambridge University Press but can be copied for personal use subject to citation of this reference.

Good practice:

Suggested for improved practice:

Signed:

Name:

GMC No:

Signed:

Name:

GMC No:

Date: