Impact of COVID 19 on the older persons in NI

Demographics of the Northern Ireland population

Northern Ireland has an estimated population of 1,901,900, 98% of which are Caucasian and 15% currently aged 65 and over (NISSRA, 2020a). In line with global ageing population projections, in just 8 years’ time we estimate there to be more people aged over 65 living in Northern Ireland than children. Around 80,000 older people aged 65 and over currently live on their own and for the period December 2019 – February 2020 10% of the population currently in employment were 65 and over (NISRA, 2020b). Over a quarter of a million hours of domiciliary care is provided each year, 68% of which is provided by the independent and voluntary sector and over 80% of care packages in residential or nursing homes are provided to people aged 65 and over (COPNI, 2015, DOH, 2019).

The health and wellbeing of older persons in Northern Ireland must remain a priority for commissioners and even prior to the Coronavirus pandemic and future economic uncertainty planning for healthcare provisions and promoting healthy ageing presented a significant global challenge.

DIRECT CONSEQUENCES OF CORONAVIRUS INFECTION IN OLDER PERSONS

Disease Epidemiology

Age has been clearly identified as a risk factor for severe disease COVID19 infection and death. Guan et al’s report from the initial outbreak in Wuhan (Guan et al., 2020) indicated that patients over the age of 65 represented 15% of those admitted to hospital with COVID19, but comprised 49% of those who died, or required intensive care admission or mechanical ventilation. As well as demonstrating the vulnerability of an older population, in underrepresenting over 65s, who in Ireland comprise 53% of all COVID19 hospital admissions (HPSC, 2020), this also highlights the limited generalisability of the clinical information provided by the first tranche of (mostly Chinese) studies to our older population.

This limited generalisability may be further demonstrated by the emergence of case reports (Tay and Harwood, 2020), and clinical guidelines released by the British Geriatric Society (BGS, 2020a) encouraging vigilance in older patients presenting with non-specific features. A recent cross-sectional survey reported that 8% of COVID19 positive patients in a nursing home demonstrated atypical symptoms alone (Kimball, 2020). The disease may therefore have a different symptom profile in older adults, and the prevalence of the disease in such populations may be grossly underestimated.

Neurological symptoms & delirium

Although fever and respiratory symptoms appear to be most prevalent manifestations (Guan et al., 2020), neurological symptoms and illnesses have been reported in 36% of patients hospitalised with COVID19 (Mao et al., 2020). These include dizziness, stroke and delirium (an acute confusional state). Figures from a French cohort report indicate that agitation (69%) and cognitive symptoms (36%) are observed in individuals with COVID19 (Helms et al., 2020). These figures are again likely to be an underestimate of the real prevalence given both the low average age of the study cohorts, and the recognition that presentations such as delirium go undetected in around two thirds of cases (Collins et al., 2010).
Delirium in particular is important because it is strongly associated with falls, depression (O’Sullivan et al., 2014), medical complications, institutionalisation (Witlox et al., 2010) and mortality (Kiely et al., 2009), as well as significant patient and carer distress. Delirium is also a strong risk factor for the development of dementia (Davis et al., 2012). However, it is reversible once detected and delirium often resolves following treatment of the underlying medical disorder with use of conservative, non-pharmacological, management strategies. It is also preventable, as demonstrated through exposure to a 33% reduction in incident delirium compared to usual care with use of a multimodal intervention tool (Inouye et al., 1999).

**Dementia and neurodegenerative disease**

Evidence on the direct effects of COVID19 on brain structure and function is extremely limited. Neuropathological research may shed more light on this, but typically such studies involve smaller sample sizes, require specialised expertise and longer follow up periods than most clinical studies. This may be further inhibited by concerns that the use of oscillating saws, a tool commonly used during brain procurement, have been suspected to promote aerosolization (Cevik et al., 2020).

However, there is pathological evidence of the presence other coronaviruses in brain tissue. SARS-CoV, the pathogen responsible for the 2002-3 SARS outbreak, demonstrates a similar biochemical and genomic footprint to that of the SARS-CoV-2 pathogen (Andersen et al., 2020), and has been identified in the cerebrum of affected patients (Ding et al., 2004). Coronaviruses have also demonstrated the capability to invade the central nervous system from respiratory system foci (Desforges et al., 2014).

The direct long-term consequences of COVID19 on brain health may take decades to become apparent. Neuroinflammation is heavily implicated in the aetiologies of dementias and other neurodegenerative diseases preceding the development of clinical features by several years (Guzman-Martinez et al., 2019). Such inflammation has also been proposed as a mechanism of the neurological manifestations of COVID19 (Mao et al., 2020) and acute and severe cases of COVID19 nervous system inflammation have been reported (Liu et al., 2020). The olfactory bulb is a particularly vulnerable sentinel site for the development of neurodegenerative pathology (Outeiro et al., 2019) and inflammation of the bulb has been proposed as a likely mechanism of the hyposmia commonly observed in COVID19 infection (Mao et al., 2020). Long term neurological sequelae of systemic infection is not without precedent; Spanish influenza was hypothesised as contributing to the encephalitis lethargica epidemic which affected as many as one million people worldwide in the early twentieth century (Hoffman and Vilensky, 2017).

**INDIRECT CONSEQUENCES OF CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC ON OLDER PERSONS**

**Impact on Mental Health**

Despite its small population size, Northern Ireland has consistently demonstrated higher rates of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, mental health burden and suicide rates felt in part due to the legacy of “the Troubles” (O’Neill et al., 2015, Bunting et al., 2013, McLafferty et al., 2018). This raises concerns of the impact of the COVID19 pandemic not only in known vulnerable groups but the mental wellbeing of the entire Northern Irish population.
There will be mental health ramifications as a result of COVID19 beyond that of the population with pre-existing mental health conditions (Holmes et al., 2020). Following the SARS outbreak in 2003 Liu et al., examined the mental health impact on 549 hospital employees and found that with other relevant factors controlled for, being single, having been quarantined during the outbreak, having been exposed to other traumatic events before SARS, and perceived SARS-related risk level during the outbreak were found to increase the odds of having a high level of depressive symptoms up to 3 years later (Liu et al., 2012). Age has been found to impact the emotional response to epidemics. In a study at the peak of the SARS outbreak older adults responded with less anger to the necessary restrictions and were better able to alter their coping strategies in response to the changing environment than younger adults (Yeung and Fung, 2007).

COVID19 has resulted in a parallel epidemic of fear and anxiety, further compounded by overwhelming media coverage with often weakly supported, over exaggerated or sensationalised news reports (Shigemura et al., 2020). Concerns about individual or loved ones health, bereavement as well as uncertainty about the future economic impact may increase the risk of serious mental health conditions including anxiety or trauma related disorders and alcohol or substance misuse (Fiorillo and Gorwood, 2020, Girdhar et al., 2020).

People with pre-existing mental health conditions are more likely than the general population to develop respiratory infections and may also be more susceptible to the increased emotional stress in response to the current crisis (Yao et al., 2020). This may increase the risk of relapse or deterioration in their mental health. Combine this with the closure of community care facilities, outpatient clinics and normal mechanisms for support and mental state monitoring and it could result in a delay in presenting to services or reduced access to interventions (Holmes et al., 2020).

Chan et al., highlighted a 31.7% increase in suicide rates in older adults during the Severe Adult Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) epidemic in Hong Kong 2003 compared to the previous year with peak deaths correlating with the peak of the epidemic (Cheung et al., 2008, Chan et al., 2006). There was also some evidence that suicide rates increased in the Unites States following the 1918-19 Spanish flu epidemic (Wasserman, 1992). As with any research into death by suicide, we must remain sensitive to the complexity of the factors involved but these highlight the need for an immediate and multidisciplinary response both in research and in the adaptation of clinical and crisis services (Holmes et al., 2020).

**Isolation**

In order to reduce the risk of COVID19 in vulnerable proportions of the population that would have increased mortality, those over the age of 70 or with certain medical comorbidities were advised to remain isolated for a period of 12 weeks “shielding” (Sparrow, 2020). Self-isolation will disproportionally affect those that live alone, have reduced access to adapted community services or reduced ability to utilise the growth of technology enabled communication either via lack of access, cognitive impairment or sensory impairment (Armitage and Nellums, 2020, Steinman et al., 2020). Being socially connected can improve emotional well-being but has also been associated with improved physical well-being and uptake of health screening programmes (Van Jaarsveld et al., 2006) Conversely, chronic loneliness has been found to contribute to increased morbidity, mortality and healthcare utilisation (Gerst-Emerson and Jayawardhana, 2015).
However, social isolation and loneliness related outcomes are two related but distinct concepts and in a metanalysis conducted by Holt-Lunstad et al.(2015), middle aged adults were at greater risk of mortality when lonely or living alone than when older adults experienced the same circumstances (Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015).

Prolonged isolation, disruption in food distribution or reduced opportunities to exercise may also result in physical deconditioning or nutritional deficits that could have longer term health impact for older persons (Steinman et al., 2020).

**Disruption to health service**

In order to respond to the demand on the health service, plan for expected surge in COVID19 cases and accommodate staff shortages, there required a significant change in healthcare provision in a very short timeframe. This has resulted in a large number of elective procedures, investigations and treatments being suspended or cancelled and the older person is more likely to be directly affected.

There is also concern about the reduced attendances to general practitioners and emergency departments for non COVID19 related serious health conditions such as symptoms of cancer, heart attacks or strokes due to fear of the disease (Thornton, 2020, Steinman et al., 2020). This may result in increased morbidity and mortality as well as increased waiting lists when services return.

**Immunosenescence**

One of the initial discussions presented by the UK government in response to the COVID19 pandemic was herd immunity. Herd immunity arises when a significant proportion of the population provides a measure of protection for a vulnerable portion of the population reducing the likelihood that the susceptible proportion will be infected (Lang, 2011). In the absence of a vaccine against COVID19 however and limited evidence to date to suggest that recovery from the infection results in immunity this strategy has come under some criticism (Pawelec and Weng, 2020). Accumulation of deficits in immune response most typically seen with advancing age is given the term immunosenescence and also may result in reduced efficacy of any vaccination programme in older persons (Lang and Aspinall, 2012).

**Perceived Ageism**

Whilst older people do seem more susceptible to develop severe or life threatening symptoms in response to COVID19 infection there has been felt to be an ageist discourse in the public’s perception and media reports about the disease (Brooke and Jackson, 2020). This may increase perception in the older person that they are a burden or less valued by their community increasing the negative emotional effects of the pandemic. It is important that the older person in our population are not seen as a homogenous group or that increased age felt to directly correlate with level of disability or chance of survival (Ayalon et al., 2020). The British Geriatric Society have also advocated for the increased inclusion of older people in COVID19 related research (BGS, 2020b).

The COVID19 global pandemic will present significant challenges in planning for healthcare services particularly the mental health impact beyond the initial response to the disease. The older persons in our population and those with pre-existing mental health conditions may be especially vulnerable and so consideration, adaptive services, assertive outreach and inclusion in research will be required to deliver evidence-based interventions.
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