

Report author:

Peer reviewer:

Nature of case: Criminal/family/civil/other (specify)

	Standards assessed	Requires attention/ improvement	Adequate	An example to others
1.	Demonstrably within expertise			Not applicable
2.	Consent (if appropriate)			
3.	Acceptable structure and properly presented			
4.	'User-friendly'			
5.	Compliance with relevant rules			Not applicable
6.	Knowledge, understanding and correct application of legal tests			
7.	Methodology explained			
8.	Facts and opinions clearly separated			Not applicable
9.	Issues addressed			Not applicable
10.	Evaluation of quality of evidence			

11.	Opinions reasoned and withstand logical analysis			
12.	Includes range of reasonable opinion			Not applicable
13.	Summary of opinion/conclusions			
14.	Evidence of independence and impartiality			
15.	Glossary (if applicable)/Terms explained			
14.	Expedition and timeliness			Not applicable
15.	Probity			Not applicable

Good practice:

Suggested for improved practice:

To be addressed in PDP:

Signed:

Name:

GMC No:

Signed:

Name:

GMC No:

Date: