

Early Intervention in Psychosis Scoring Matrix 2021/2022

Scoring Matrix Item		1 Greatest need for improvement	2 Needs improvement	3 Performing well	4 Top performing
Timely access					
1	Percentage of service users with first episode psychosis that were allocated to, and engaged with, an EIP care coordinator within 2 weeks of receipt of referral ¹	<25%	≥25%	≥60%	≥60%
Effective treatment					
2.1	Percentage of service users with first episode psychosis that took up Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for psychosis (CBTp) ²	<12%	≥12%	≥24%	≥36%
2.2	Percentage of service users with first episode psychosis that took up supported employment and education programmes ²	<10%	≥10%	≥20%	≥30%
2.3	Percentage of service users with first episode psychosis and their families that took up Family Interventions**	<8%	≥8%	≥16%	≥24%
2.4	Percentage of carers that took up carer-focussed education and support programmes	<25%	≥25%	≥50%	≥75%
2.5	Percentage of service users with first episode psychosis that have had a physical health review and relevant interventions in the last year ³	<70%	≥70%	≥80%	≥90%
Recording outcome measures					
3	Percentage of service users for whom two or more outcome measures (from HoNOS/HoNOSCA, DIALOG and QPR) were recorded at least twice (assessment and one other time point)	<25%	≥25%	≥50%	≥75%

¹ The clock for the two-week pathway starts from the date when either the central triage point ('single point of access') or the EIP service receives a referral flagged as 'suspected first episode psychosis' or is recognised as such upon receipt. The clock stops when the service user is accepted onto the caseload of an EIP service capable of providing a full package of NICE-recommended care or is allocated to and engaged with an EIP care coordinator.

² Thresholds vary to consider that: It may not be appropriate to offer interventions to service users, e.g., those who have joined the service recently; Interventions may not be relevant to all service users; Not all service users offered interventions take up the interventions. Thresholds are calculated for each intervention individually based on studies into take-up of interventions.

³ In agreement with NHSE glucose and cholesterol measures have been excluded from the physical health analysis for the scoring matrix due to the shortage of blood specimen tubes in 2021.

Calculating the overall score

The **overall score** is calculated based on the number of domains rated as 'top performing', 'performing well', 'needs improvement' and 'greatest need for improvement'. It does **not** include the sub-matrix domain *service set up*.

Level 4 - Top performing:

- 'Top performing' in the effective treatment domain and the timely access domain
- 'Performing well' or higher in the recording outcome measures domain

Level 3 - Performing well:

- 'Performing well' or higher in the effective treatment domain and the timely access domain
- 'Needs improvement' or higher in the recording outcome measures domain
- If a team is rated 'greatest need for improvement' in any domain, they cannot be rated 'performing well' overall

Level 2 - Needs improvement*:

- 'Needs improvement' or higher in the effective treatment domain and the timely access domain
- Any score in the recording outcome measures domain
- Teams can be rated as 'needs improvement' overall if they do not satisfy the conditions for 'top performing', 'performing well' or 'greatest need for improvement'.

Level 1 - Greatest need for improvement:

- 'Greatest need for improvement' in the effective treatment domain or the timely access domain

Calculating individual domain scores

The **timely access** and **recording outcome measures** domains only have one item so the rating for the item and the domain are the same.

The **effective treatment** domain has five items, therefore an overall rating for this domain needs to be calculated:

Top performing - rated as 'top performing' in at least three items **and** 'performing well' in the others. If any item is rated as 'needs improvement' or 'greatest need for improvement', a team cannot be 'top performing' in this domain.

Performing well - rated as:

- 'Performing well' in at least three items **or**
- 'Top performing' in at least three items and 'needs improvement' in at least 1 item **or**
- A mixture of 'performing well' or 'top performing' in three or more items. (This cannot be 'top performing' in at least three items and 'performing well' in the others, as this would qualify for 'top performing').
- If any item is rated as 'greatest need for improvement', a team cannot be 'performing well' in this domain

Needs improvement - rated as 'needs improvement' in at least three items or 'greatest need for improvement' in any item.

Greatest need for improvement' - rated as 'greatest need for improvement' in at least three items.

Sub-matrix

Please note: the sub-matrix scores do not count towards the overall score.

Scoring sub-matrix item		1 Greatest need for improvement	2 Needs improvement	3 Performing well	4 Top performing
Service set up					
4.1	Team provides service to over 35s	No	Provides one of these services	Yes	Yes
4.2	Team provides Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) for At-Risk Mental State to service users with At Risk Mental State	No		Yes	Provided within the team or separate team providing ARMS assessment and intervention
Provision for children and young people (CYP)					
4.3	Provision of EIP services with CYP expertise to under 18s	No EIP concordant CYP provision for under 18 years	Some provision of EIP concordant services to under 18 years	Provision of EIP concordant services to under 18 years	Provision of EIP concordant services to under 18 years with shared care protocol and joint/reciprocal training events between EIP and CYPMH teams

Calculating domain scores for sub-matrix

The **service set-up** domain has two items. To score 'performing well', a team must report they have each item.

Scoring for items 4.1 and 4.2, service set up:

Top performing there must be a service for over 35s **and** a CBT for ARMS service for all age groups (this can be within the team or by a separate team providing ARMS assessment and intervention).

Performing well there must be a service for over 35s **and** a CBT for ARMS service for the 14-35 years age group (this can be within the team, elsewhere or by a separate team providing ARMS assessment and intervention).

Needs improvement there must be a service for over 35s **or** a CBT for ARMS service for at least one age group (this can be within the team, elsewhere or by a separate team providing ARMS assessment and intervention)

Greatest need for improvement there must be no service for over 35s **and** no CBT for ARMS service for any age group

The **provision for children and young people** domain has only one item so the rating for the item and the domain are the same.

Scoring for item 4.3, provision for children and young people (CYP)

Top performing there must be:

- provision of services for children and young people with first episode psychosis (any model under Q5 contextual)
- a shared care protocol between the EIP and CYPMH teams (Q6 contextual)
- joint or reciprocal training events arranged at least annually between the CYPMH and EIP teams (Q7 contextual)
- medication is managed or advice is provided to teams on medication management by EI/CYP trained and experienced prescribers (Q8 contextual)
- provision of CBTp and Family Interventions (Q9 contextual)
- care coordinators specifically for CYP under 18 years either within the EIP or CYPMH team (Q11 contextual)

Performing well there must be:

- provision of services for children and young people with first episode psychosis (any model under Q5 contextual)
- medication is managed or advice is provided to teams on medication management by EI/CYP trained and experienced prescribers (Q8 contextual)
- provision of CBTp and FI (Q9 contextual)
- care coordinators specifically for CYP under 18 years either within the EIP or CYPMH team (Q11 contextual)

Needs improvement there must be (any of):

- medication is not managed by and/or there is no routine access to advice from EI/CYP trained and experienced prescribers (Q8 contextual)
- no provision of CBTp or Family Interventions (Q9 contextual)
- no care coordinators specifically for CYP under 18 years (Q11 contextual)

Greatest need for improvement, there must be:

- No EIP concordant CYP provision for under 18 years (Q5 contextual)