Data control statement for POMH-UK

Data ownership and control
Control of the local data submitted to POMH-UK is retained by the healthcare organisation that submitted them. These data have been made available to POMH-UK in a way that is pseudonymous, with the exception of the identity of the source organisation. The aggregate data from all participating organisations are analysed by POMH-UK to produce our customised reports. These reports summarise the national results and local results at organisation and clinical team level, benchmarked anonymously against the other organisations taking part.

Data Sharing
There is a publication strategy allowing POMH-UK to publish the aggregated data on its web site and/or in appropriate scientific journals. Any organisations requesting these audit data will be referred to the POMH-UK reports appearing in the public domain or provided with a list of member healthcare organisations and asked to approach them individually. It is each organisation's decision whether, and with whom, to share their data.

Data for Quality Improvement
Given that the data are collected for the purpose of quality improvement they are not necessarily representative of performance across the Trust. The use of data for ranking or judgement at an organisational level may therefore not be appropriate. Participation in POMH QIPs can be considered to indicate engagement in quality improvement. Relative and absolute performance against the practice standards should always be considered with the above caveats in mind.
Reflection by clinical teams on their benchmarked performance is perhaps the most potent element of POMH-UK programmes. In addition to performance against the clinical standards, the audit data include demographic, diagnostic and other relevant clinical information that provide a context for interpretation and understanding of practice, which can inform local strategies and systems to achieve improvement. The data collected are designed to be suitable for this clinical purpose, and not for objective ranking of healthcare organisations, for which they are untested and would not necessarily be appropriate.