**STAGE 1.** Team receives their draft report after a peer review

**STAGE 2.** Team has one month to make corrections to report and provide evidence

**STAGE 3.** Report goes to AC
- AC have enough evidence to enable them to make a decision
- AC not satisfied they have sufficient evidence to make a decision
  - AC ask for further evidence to be discussed at next meeting (stage 3)

**STAGE 4.** AC make decision
- If meeting enough standards – recommended to be accredited
- If not meeting enough standards – recommended to be deferred for 3, 6 or 12 months
  - Website updated, team informed, support provided. Regular updates sought. Team then goes back to stage 3 to submit evidence of improvement.
  - AC ask for further evidence to be discussed at next meeting (stage 3)

- AC not satisfied they have sufficient evidence to make a decision
  - AC ask for further evidence to be discussed at next meeting (stage 3)

- If no satisfactory progress made after agreed period, team is formally not accredited.